



300 Forest Ave.
Pacific Grove, CA 93950
831-648-3100 main
www.cityofpacificgrove.org

October 30, 2019

TO: All Bidders
FROM: City of Pacific Grove
RFP/BID #: PGARFP-02
PROJECT: Council Chamber Technology Enhancement
SUBJECT: Addendum No. 01-1010-19

Addendum 01-1010-19 includes the following changes and/or additions to the Council Chamber Technology Enhancement Project. This Addendum shall apply to proposals made for and to the execution of the various parts of the work affected thereby, and all other conditions shall remain the same.

Careful note of the Addendum shall be taken by all parties of interest so that the proper allowances shall be made in strict accordance with the Addendum, relevant other official communications if any, and the related specifications. All trades associated shall be fully advised by their Contractor in the performance of all related work, which will be required for the successful implementation of these changes.

In case of conflict between Drawings, Project Documentation and this Addendum, this Addendum shall govern only to the extent the resolution is covered herein.

Pre-bid Job Walk Questions and Responses/Changes, Additions

1. Question: There are 9 categories for evaluation criteria...Are these categories weighted, and if so, what is the weighting percentage for each category (or are they all equally weighted)?

Response: *A weighting model shall be used by the City to assist in determining the proposal with the best overall value to the City. The Pricing, Qualifications and*

Experience criteria are expected to be weighted heavily as compared to the other criteria. The balance of criteria contains sub-criteria that helps create a scoring framework for other categories, that could be relative to the weighting of each proposal received. Therefore, the City cannot precisely predetermine complete relative weighting until all potential proposals are received.

The Pricing criterion has only 1 scoring method: the lowest price, based on value and relevance, receives the highest score in that category. In this regard, the City would like to provide the following guideline concerning the quality of products:

Product quality standards of the Television Broadcast and Professional AV industry should be met with every proposed line-item in the Pricing/Cost List. No consumer level products should be specified unless specifically called out in the list.

2. Question: Verify the Quality and Brand of Monitors?

Response: Responders are to refer to RFP for level of quality and references to brand. It was determined that OLED is not necessary for this project but does also assist in describing a level of quality to be adhered to when specifying monitor quality.

3. Question: What are the specifications for the 4th camera?

Response: Refer to RFP Equipment List section, Panasonic AW-HE40SKPJ9. (white or black will be determined later)

4. Question: Is ring and string cabling provided

Response: Cabling and establishing cable paths to all monitors is required by the AV Integrator. A grommited hole using Plenum cabling is ok however HDBaseT receivers at the monitors are required to be installed either as a wall plate or mounted to the monitor.

5. Question: What is the preferred speaker timer? Does the timer need to be shown on the screen?

Response: No speaker timer manufacturer is preferred however DSan is the minimum level of quality and functionality based on information in the RFP is required.

6. Question: Can the existing cables for the current cameras be used

Response: To the extent existing cables can work, the AV Integrator can reuse them. It is best to assume that all camera cables need to be installed for the sake

of a competitive, responsive proposal.

7. Question: Will the positioning of the monitors be ADA compliant?

Response: *Yes, AV Integrator is to ensure monitor is hung with enough clearance to be ADA compliant. The City has determined that the height of the monitors and mounts shall allow for ADA compliance as long as attention to this height is observed.*

8. Question: Is blocking required for mounting the monitors? Will the City or the vendor provide and install the blocking?

Response: *Yes, the vendor will supply and install the blocking.*

9. Question: Regarding placement of Monitors in Council Chamber and lobby.

a. Will the cork board be removed?

Response: *No*

b. Can they mount a monitor/camera to the cork board wall?

Response: *Yes*

c. Is mounting the monitor/camera above the wheelchair lift on the wall ADA compliant.

Response: *Yes*

d. Can mounting of monitors be done from the ceiling?

Response: *If necessary for ADA and within NTE budget*

e. Can monitors be mounted near the doors if they block the EXIT sign?

Response: *No*

f. How far should the monitors be from the fire alarm?

Response: *They can be as close as needed as long as they don't interfere with the function of the alarm.*

g. Can they move the placement of the current cameras?

Response: *Yes*

10. Question: Is a structural stamp required?

Response: A structural stamp is not needed. If a need is determined later in the project a change order will be accepted.

11. Question: What is above the Council Chamber, concrete or decking?

Response: *False ceiling and wood flooring.*

12. Question: Is the City keeping the old cameras and equipment or do the contractors need to dispose of it?

Response: *Equipment to be demoed by the AV Integrator is to be gathered and left for the City to determine its final disposition*

13. Question: The drawing shows what appears to be a document camera at the end of the Dias (near the far bottom left seat position). Is a document camera required for this project? If so, please provide desired make and model #.

Response: *The document camera is Owner-furnished Contractor-Installed equipment and should be integrated with the new system.*

14. Question: Will the city take out the counter, cabinets and fridge in the broadcast room prior to the start of the project??

Response: *Public Works will demo the control room. The AV equipment that is currently in the control room will need to be removed by the contractor.*

15. Question: During the construction will the Council Chambers be vacant?

Response: *The Council Chamber will be available to the AV Integrator for at least 2 weeks and perhaps more based on the installation schedule.*

16. Question: Where is the power?

Response: *Power is available at various locations under the dais and in control room.*

17. Question: Who will do power source to monitors in the lobby and new cameras in the Chamber?

Response: *City will be responsible for providing power to the monitors. Power for the cameras is either POE+ or with existing cameras the power is available locally.*

18. Question: Extron and BiAmp listed, are you open to a system designed with Crestron and QSYS and stay within your 200k budget?

Response: *Please refer to RFP for proposing alternate products. Generally, Crestron and QSYS can be reviewed and accepted as long as the intended functionality is maintained, and overall budget is not exceeded.*

19. Question: Audio DSP: both Dante and AVB are referenced in this BOM. It is assumed that the line indicating "Ethernet/AVB Channel Logic System" and the line after "Dante Network system and Interface gear" all refer to the DSP network. Since the basic network with the DSP, Mic, and logic expansion can be built out of

Biamp/AVB, what role is expected for Dante in this system?

Response: *Detailed design shall be completed once project is awarded. Proposers are encouraged to provide pricing for all line-items at this time even when savings by design is estimated.*

20. Question: Is Shure QLX-D acceptable for the wireless mic systems

Response: *Please refer to alternate systems proposed in the RFP. Proposers are encouraged to provide pricing for all line-items at this time even when savings by design is estimated.*

21. Question: Where are the PC connection port panels located? Are these X-gang boxes? Should this be a custom 1-G plate?

Response: *Please refer to the RFP for these details. PC connections include the Lectern and are expected to be embedded wall plate transmitters with PC connectivity as specified.*

22. Question: Aruba is owned by Hewlett Packard. Are HP switches acceptable?

Response: *The City has requested the ARUBA models for consistency with current network switches. If the requested item cannot be competitively furnished, provide an alternate using the requirement specified in the RFP for alternate systems.*

23. Question: Should the Extron DTP equipment be provided in 230 or 330' models? Drawings do not indicate proximity or length of cable paths from the Chambers to the production room.

Response: *The 230' versions are acceptable at this time.*

24. Question: Six DTP inputs are shown on the BOM, with only 4 DTP inputs available on the DTP-108. Shall we add two DTP receivers to provide a complete signal chain from the two un-accommodated inputs?

Response: *If there is a discrepancy in quantities on the equipment list or RFP references, Proposers can note it and add appropriate equipment to accommodate but not to the base not-to-exceed total. Please include it and a new total in an "add-alternate" section.*

25. Question: Six DTP outputs are required where only 4 DTP outs are available on the DTP 108 switcher. May we add a DTP DA to provide a single signal to the lobby and chambers displays? (Extron 60-1437-01 4-output DA).

Response: *As of now they are no single-independent feeds to the lobby so additional equipment is not necessary.*

26. Question: Are the touch panels to be routed from the DTP control processor through

the data switch? If so, the Extron POE injectors would appear to be unnecessary.

Response: *Detailed design shall occur upon award of the project. Please respond to each line-item as required and savings advantages during the design stage can be realized.*

27. Question: Drawings and BOM do not indicate which form-factor the DTP transmitters should be provided in – wall-plate or under-desk models.

Response: *Provide wall plate models except when needed for additional HDBaseT transmitters or receivers at the AV Matrix switch.*

28. Question: Is there a master quote for the Broadcast Pix BPS-FX6? Is there a master configuration indicating all required features?

Response: *Proposers can reference [BPix100819-Doerschel-PacificGrove](#) with Chuck Williamson at Broadcast Pix for specific devices within the system.*

29. Question: How many positions is the KVM required to monitor/control?

Response: *4 local PCs are requested at this time with a single user station and a local control.*

30. Question: Do we bid per Exhibit D – then provide an additional cost for a complete design once awarded?

Response: *The RFP discusses “Design-Intent” extensively. Please refer to page 12 and 13 to review the design stage and related design-intent. Moreover, there is a “Design Labor” line-item in the cost proposal list. The “not-to-exceed amount” in the cost proposal must include all costs during the project.*

END OF ADDENDUM 1